

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:)
)
Satoko SHITAGAKI et al.)
)
Serial No.: 10/826,838)
)
Filed: April 16, 2004)
)
For: Quinoxaline Derivative, And Organic)
Semiconductor Device, Electric Field)
Light Emitting Device, And Electronic)
Device Which Have The Same)
)
Examiner: Jill M. Gray)
)
Confirmation No.: 1744)
)
Art Unit: 1794)

Commissioner for Patents
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE (E) TO OFFICE ACTION

Sir:

Applicants have the following response to the Office Action of April 4, 2008.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC §103

In the Office Action, the Examiner rejects Claims 1-4 and 9-17 under 35 USC §103(a) as being unpatentable over Li et al. (US 6,723,445). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

More specifically, Applicants respectfully submit that Li does not disclose or suggest the quinoxaline derivative of the claimed invention. For example, Claim 1 recites a quinoxaline